



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/488,653	01/20/2000	Jun Tanaka	JA998-227	4753
7.	590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
Anne Vachon Dougherty			BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J	
3173 Cedar Road Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	······································		2134	
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004	, 5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_
_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/488,653	TANAKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Christopher J Brown	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIQ SET TO EVDIDE 2 M	NITH(S) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12,14-19,21-26 and 28-30</u> is/a	are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12,14-19,21-26 and 28-30</u> is/a	re rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	-	
application from the International Bure	·	coortod in the Hational Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u> </u>	

Office Action Summary

· Application/Control Number: 09/488,653

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Examiner believes all items of note the applicant has raised are addressed in the following office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 6-12, 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Isikoff US 5,748,084.

Art Unit: 2134

As per claim 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 12, Isikoff discloses a security method to prohibit access to a computer when a security device (beacon) has been removed from said computer, (Col 4 lines 39-41). Isikoff discloses storing data in a first storage means (firmware) in which only authorized users are able to change (Col 4 lines 45-50). Isikoff discloses dynamically determining if the security device (beacon) is removed or altered on said computer, and if so, prohibiting access, (Col 4 lines 50-53). Isikoff discloses password authorization to determine whether access to the computer should be prohibited, (Col 9 lines 8-11).

As per claim 3, Isikoff discloses password authorization to determine whether access to the computer should be prohibited, (Col 9 lines 8-11).

As per claims 4, 8, 11, and 30 Isikoff discloses that the security device (beacon) is able to determine if it was legitimately removed from the computer (Col 4 lines 45-49).

As per claims 28 and 29 Isiskoff discloses that remote actions may be made using RF signals, (Col 9 lines 59-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/488,653 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

Claims 14-19 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Isikoff US 5,748,084 in view of Nerlikar US 5,629,981.

As per claims 14-19, and 21-26, Isikoff discloses a security device (beacon) in a

PCMCIA device, (Col 4 line 46). Isikoff discloses an RF pager system (Col 9 lines 59-

65). Isikoff provides for the addition of a second antenna (Col 10 lines 20-25). The

PCMCIA card is inserted into a device bay, the PCMCIA slot. Isikoff does not disclose

an RFID tag with RF antenna.

Nerlikar discloses a PCMCIA card containing memory, with RFID and an RF antenna.

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Isikoff's PCMCIA card with the

RFID, memory and RF antenna of Nerlikar because the incorporation of the RF elements

into the PCMCIA card would make the security system more secure, and reliance on an

external antenna would not be needed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2134

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J Brown whose telephone number is 703-305-8023. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher J. Brown

GREGORY MORSE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100